APPENDIX A:

SAMPLE DATA FORMS FOR THE PROTOCOLS

APPENDIX A-1:

Habitat Assessment and Physicochemical Characterization Field Data Sheets

Form 1: Physical Characterization/Water Quality Field Data Sheet

Form 2: Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet - High Gradient Streams

Form 3: Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet - Low Gradient Streams

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now storm (heavy rain) rain (steady rain) showers (intermittent) showers (intermittent) clear/sunny	Past 24 Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? 'Yes' No Air Temperature C Other
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the site and indicate	the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem ' Perennial ' Intermittent ' T Stream Origin ' Glacial ' Spring- ' Non-glacial montane ' Mixture ' Swamp and bog ' Other_	Catchment Areakm ² fed e of origins

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES	Predominant Surrounding Landuse ' Forest ' Commercial ' Field/Pasture ' Industrial ' Agricultural ' Other ' Residential	Local Watershed NPS Pollution ' No evidence ' Some potential sources ' Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion ' None ' Moderate ' Heavy
RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Indicate the dominant type and record the domin Trees Shrubs dominant species present	ant species present Grasses ' Herbaceous
INSTREAM FEATURES	Estimated Reach Lengthm Estimated Stream Widthm Sampling Reach Aream² Area in km² (m²x1000)km² Estimated Stream Depthm Surface Velocitym/sec (at thalweg)	Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded High Water Mark Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle Pool Pool Channelized Yes No No Partly shaded Radd No Run No No No No No No No No No N
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWDm² Density of LWDm²/km² (LWD/ reac	h area)
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the domin ' Rooted emergent ' Floating Algae ' Attached Algae dominant species present Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation	
WATER QUALITY	Temperature0 C Specific Conductance Dissolved Oxygen pH Turbidity WQ Instrument Used	Water Odors ' Normal/None ' Sewage ' Petroleum ' Chemical ' Fishy ' Other Water Surface Oils ' Slick ' Sheen ' Globs ' Flecks ' None ' Other Turbidity (if not measured) ' Clear ' Slightly turbid ' Turbid ' Opaque ' Stained ' Other
SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE	Odors ' Normal ' Sewage ' Petroleum ' Chemical ' Anaerobic ' None ' Other Oils ' Absent ' Slight ' Moderate ' Profuse	Deposits ' Sludge ' Sawdust ' Paper fiber ' Sand ' Relict shells ' Other Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color? ' Yes ' No

INC	ORGANIC SUBSTRATE (should add up to 1			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add	
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")			materials (CPOM)	
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")			(FPOM)	
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm				
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)				

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION		
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS		
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN		
STORET#	AGENCY		
INVESTIGATORS			
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY	

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted i	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Par	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category	
	Parameter Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
g reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
amp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e ev	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
to b	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters to	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total	Score	
т опят	300F	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
each	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Pool Substrate Characterization	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
uate	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
rs to be eval	3. Pool Variability	Even mix of large- shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.
mete	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Para	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		ı Category		
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
npling reach	7. Channel Sinuosity	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
san	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12- 18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score _____

APPENDIX A-2:

Periphyton Field and Laboratory Data Sheets

- Form 1: Periphyton Field Data Sheet
- Form 2: Periphyton Sample Log-In Sheet
- Form 3: Periphyton Soft Algae Laboratory Bench Sheet (front and back)
- Form 4: Periphyton Diatom Laboratory Bench Sheet (front and back)
- Form 5: Rapid Periphyton Survey Field Sheet

PERIPHYTON FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present ' Sand-Silt-Mud-Muck% ' Gravel-Cobble% ' Bedrock% ' Small Woody Debris% ' Large Woody Debris% ' Plants, Roots% ' Riffle% ' Run% ' Pool%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used 'suction device' bar clamp sample 'scraping' Other How were the samples collected? 'wading 'from bank' from boat If natural habitat collections, indicate the number of samples taken in each habitat type. 'Sand-Silt-Mud-Muck% 'Gravel-Cobble% 'Bedrock% 'Small Woody Debris% 'Large Woody Debris% 'Plants, Roots%
GENERAL COMMENTS	

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (<5%), 2 = Common (5% - 30%), 3 = Abundant (30% - 70%), 4 = Dominant (>70%)

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

		ion									
ge 0I	tion	identification									
page	Date of Completion	mounting									
	D	sorting									
	Lot Number										
N SHEET	Date Received	бу Lab									
PERIPHYTON SAMPLE LOG-IN SHEET	Stream Name and Location										
PER	Station #										
	Preservation										
	Number of	Containers									
	Collected	Бу									
	Date	Collected									

Serial Code Example: P0754001(1)P = Periphyton (B = Benthos, F = Fish# 0754 = project number# 001 = sample number# (1) = lot number (e.g., winter 1996 = 1; summer 1996 = 2)

PERIPHYTON SOFT ALGAE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (FRONT)

page _____ of ____

STREAM NAME		LOCATION
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	LOT#	AGENCY
COLLECTORS INITIALS	DATE	TAXONOMISTS INITIALS DATE
SUBSAMPLE TARGET FOR S	SOFT ALGAE ' 300	' 400 ' 500 ' Other

TAXA NAME	TALLY	CODE	# OF CELLS	TCR
			<u> </u>	
			<u> </u>	
			+	
		1		

Taxonomic certainty ratings (TCR) can be determined for each taxa or for the laboratory as a whole. The TCR scale is 1-5, with: 1 = most certain and 5 = least certain. If rating is 3-5, give reason. The number of cells for filamentous algae is an estimate of relative biomass.

Total No. Algal cells

Total No. Taxa

PERIPHYTON SOFT ALGAE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (BACK)

STREAM IDENTIFICATION CODE	DATE COUNTED
COUNTED TRANSECT LENGTH	COUNTED TRANSECT WIDTH
SIZE OF COVERGLASS	TOTAL SAMPLE VOLUME
VOLUME OF SAMPLE ON COVERGLASS	SAMPLE DILUTION FACTOR
PROPORTION OF SAMPLE COUNTED	AREA OF SUBSTRATE SAMPLED
TOTAL NUMBER OF CELLS COUNTED	TOTAL ASSEMBLAGE CELL DENSITY

TAXONOMY	Explain TCR ratings of 3-5:
ID Date	Other Comments (e.g. condition of algae):
	QC: 'YES 'NO QC Checker
	Algal recognition ' pass ' fail Verification complete ' YES ' NO

PERIPHYTON DIATOM LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (FRONT)

page _____ of ____

STREAM NAME		LOCATION
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN
STORET#	LOT#	AGENCY
COLLECTORS INITIALS	DATE	TAXONOMISTS INITIALS DATE
SUBSAMPLE TARGET FOR I	DIATOM ' 300 ' 400	' 600 ' Other

TAXA NAME	TALLY (# of valves)	CODE	# OF CELLS	TCR

Total No.	Algal cells	Total No. Taxa
certain and $5 = \text{least certain}$. If rating is 3-5, give reason. The number of the state of t	mber of cells for filamentous algae is	an estimate of relative biomass.
Taxonomic certainty ratings (TCR) can be determined for each to	axa or for the laboratory as a whole.	The TCR scale is 1-5, with: $1 = most$

PERIPHYTON DIATOM LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (BACK)

TAXONOMY	Explain TCR ratings of	3-5:	
Date	Other Comments (e.g. c	ondition of algae):	
	QC: YES	' NO	QC Checker
	Algal recognition Verification complete	' pass ' YES	ʻ fail ʻ NO
General Comments (use this sp	pace to add additional	l comments):	

RAPID PERIPHYTON SURVEY FIELD SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION	
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT	TONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	TOT #	AGENCY	
COLLECTORS INITIALS	DATE	TAXONOMISTS INITIALS	DATE

ASSESSED BY	
GRID AREA	
ID MACROALGA #1	
ID MACROALGA #2	
ID MICROALGA #1	
ID MICROALGA #2	

ı	
n Length	
Maxin	
Macroalga#	
	Macroalga #2 Maximum Length

Macroalga #1 Maximum Length

	5						
	4						
A #2 ED BY SANK	3						
OALG OVERI VESS I	2						
MICROALGA #2 DOTS COVERED BY THICKNESS RANK	1						
DQ T	0.5						
·	0						
	5						
,	4						
A #1 ED BY SANK	3						
DALG DVERI VESS F	2						
MICROALGA #1 DOTS COVERED BY THICKNESS RANK	1						
DOL	0.5						
	0						
# DOTS MICROALGA	SUBSIKALE						
MACROALGA #2 DOTS	COVERED						
MACROALGA #1 DOTS							
# DOTS IN GRID AREA							
TRANSECT/ VIEW #							TOTAL # DOTS AT SITE

General Comments:

APPENDIX A-3:

Benthic Macroinvertebrate Field and Laboratory Data Sheets

Form 1: Benthic Macroinvertebrate Field Data Sheet

Form 2: Benthic Macroinvertebrate Sample Log-In Sheet

Form 3: Benthic Macroinvertebrate Laboratory Bench Sheet

Form 4: Preliminary Assessment Score Sheet (Pass)

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION	LOCATION					
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS						
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN	RIVER BASIN					
STORET#		AGENCY						
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER					
FORM COMPLETED	ВҮ	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY					
HABITAT TYPES	' Cobble% ' Sn	each habitat type present ags% ' Vegetated Ba% ' Other (anks% ' Sand%)%					
SAMPLE COLLECTION	How were the samples coll Indicate the number of jak ' Cobble ' Sn	kick-net 'Other_ lected? 'wading 'fi os/kicks taken in each habitat ty ags 'Vegetated Ba 'Other (rom bank ' from boat rpe. anks ' Sand					
GENERAL COMMENTS								

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3 = Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3 = Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

_												
eof		tion	identification									
page		Date of Completion	mounting									
		D	sorting									
	N SHEET	Lot Number										
	PLE LOG-I	Date Received	by Lab									
	MACROINVERTEBRATE SAMPLE LOG-IN SHEET	Stream Name and Location										
	IACROIN	Stre										
	HICM	Station	#									
	BENTHIC	Preservation										
		Number of	Containers									
		Collected	Бу									
		Date	Collected									

Serial Code Example: B0754001(1)

B = Benthos (F = Fish; P = Periphyton# 0754 = project number# 001 = sample number# (1) = lot number (e.g., winter 1996 = 1; summer 1996 = 2)

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (FRONT)

page of

		Puge #
STREAM NAME		LOCATION
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN
STORET#		AGENCY
COLLECTED BY	DATE	LOT#
TAXONOMIST	DATE	SUBSAMPLE TARGET ' 100 ' 200 ' 300 ' Other

Organisms	No.	LS	TI	TCR	Organisms	No.	LS	TI	TCR
Oligochaeta					Megaloptera				
Hirudinea					Coleoptera				
Isopoda									
Amphipoda					Diptera				
Decapoda					 				
2 ccapeda									
Ephemeroptera									
					Gastropoda				
					-				
					Pelecypoda				
Plecoptera									
					Other				
					Outer				
Trichoptera									
					-				
Hemiptera									
пешрита			 		 			_	

Taxonomic certainty rating (TCR) 1-5:1=most certain, 5=least certain. If rating is 3-5, give reason (e.g., missing gills). LS= life stage: I = immature; P = pupa; A = adult TI = Taxonomists initials

Total No. Organism	i	Total No. Taxa	

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (BACK)

SUBSAMPLING/SORTING INFORMATION Sorter Date	Number of grids picked: Time expenditure Indicate the presence of large or ob QC: 'YES' NO # organisms originally sorted # organisms originally sorted	QC Checker
	\$90%, sample passes	+
TAXONOMY ID Date	Explain TCR ratings of 3-5: Other Comments (e.g. condition of	specimens):
	Organism recognition	QC Checker ' pass ' fail ' YES ' NO

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT SCORE SHEET (PASS)

		page or
STREAM NAME		LOCATION
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN
STORET#		AGENCY
COLLECTED BY	DATE	LOT # NUMBER OF SWEEPS
HABITATS:	COBBLE 'SHOREZONE'	SNAGS ' VEGETATION

Enter Family and/or Genus and Species name on blank line. No. ΤI TCR ΤI TCR **Organisms Organisms** No. LS Oligochaeta Megaloptera Hirudinea Coleoptera Isopoda Diptera Amphipoda Decapoda Ephemeroptera Gastropoda Pelecypoda Plecoptera Other Trichoptera Taxonomic certainty rating (TCR) 1-5:1=most certain, 5=least certain. If rating is 3-5, give reason (e.g., missing gills). LS= life stage: I = immature; P = pupa; A = adult TI = Taxonomists initials Hemiptera

	Site Value	Target Threshold	If 2 or more metrics are \$ target threshold, site is
Total No. Taxa			HEALTHY
EPT Taxa			If less than 2 metrics are within target range, site is
Tolerance Index			SUSPECTED IMPAIRED

APPENDIX A-4:

Fish Field and Laboratory Data Sheets

Form 1: Fish Sampling Field Data Sheet Form 2: Fish Sample Log-In Sheet

FISH SAMPLING FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

							Ì		ŕ	paş	ge		of_			
STREAM NAME			LOCATION													
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE		STREAM CLASS													
LAT	LONG		RIVER BASIN													
STORET#			AGENCY													
GEAR			INVE	ESTIGATO	ORS											
FORM COMPLETED	BY	DATE REASON FOR SURVEY TIME AM PM														
SAMPLE COLLECTION	How were the Block nets use Sampling Dur Stream width	ed? 'Y	TES	, NO		ime										
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the p ' Riffles ' Submerged	% Pools	0/0	, B	line	% 'Sna	.gs	% %	,							
GENERAL COMMENTS																
SPECIES	AI . I EN	NGTH (m	ANOMALIES*													
SIECIES	AL. DE	L: LENGTH (mm)/WEIGHT (g) ECIMEN MAX SUBSAMPLE)														
SIECIES	TOTAL (COUNT)						р	E					т	7.		
SI ECIES							D	E	F	L	M	S	Т	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					T	Z		
SIECIES							D	Е					Т	Z		
SIECIES							D	Е					Т	Z		
SIECIES							D	E					Т	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					Т	Z		
SIECIES							D	E					Т	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					Т	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					Т	Z		
SI ECIES							D	Е					Т	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					T	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					T	Z		
SI ECILS							D	E					T	Z		
SI ECILS							D	E					T	Z		
SI ECILS							D	E					T	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					T	Z		
SI ECIES							D	E					T	Z		

FISH SAMPLING FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

SPECIES	TOTAL (COUNT)	OPTIO	ANOMALIES*											
	(, , , ,					D	E	F	L	M	S	T	Z
							-							
										_				
									L					
							-							
	1													
				<u> </u>					_					
				<u> </u>										
							-							
	<u> </u>								<u> </u>	_				
														L
							-							
							_							
							_							
	<u> </u>													
							-							
							-							
							_							
														
									_					
		<u> </u>					-							
							-							
		-					-							
	T													
									_					
							-							
							-							
							-							
							-							
							-							
							-							
			I	l	I .									

^{*} ANOMALY CODES: D = deformities; E = eroded fins; F = fungus; L = lesions; M = multiple DELT anomalies; S = emaciated; Z = other

eof		on	identification									
page		Date of Completion	mounting									
		Da	sorting									
		Lot Number										
	ET	Date Received	by Lab									
	3-IN SHE											
	IPLE LOC	Stream Name and Location										
	FISH SAMPLE LOG-IN SHEET	Stream										
		Station #										
		Preservation										
		Number of	Containers									
		Collected By										
		Date	Collected									

Serial Code Example: F0754001(1)
F = Fish (B = Benthos; P = Periphyton# 0754 = project number # 001 = sample number # (1) = lot number (e.g., winter 1996 = 1; summer 1996 = 2)